IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

TUESDAY, THE 10TH APRIL 2007 / 20TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 4008 of 2006(B)

PETITIONER:

PADMAVATHY, KRISHNA, T.C.17/465
KAILAS NAGAR, POOJAPPURA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.LAL GEORGE

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE CORPORATION OF THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE MANAGER, MUTTATHARA SERVICE
CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.T.413
VALLAKKADAVU, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. B. SWARNAMMA, PANAYIL VEEDU,
TC.43/1014, MUTTATHARA, VALLAKKADAVU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV: SRI.N.NANDAKUMARA MENON
ADV: SRI.VINOD KUMAR FOR R1

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) No. 4008 of 2006 APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

Ext.P1: True copy of the loan closure receipt in loan No. CSF/OL 75/95 dated 5-1-2006

Ext.P2: True copy of the request letter issued by the petitioner to the 2nd respondent

Ext.P3: True copy of the representation submitted by the Petitioner to the 1st respondent 17-6-2005

Ext.P4: True copy of the letter issued by the 2nd respondent to the 1st respondent dated 20-6-2005

Ext.P5: True copy of the representation dated 6-1-2006 submitted by the petitioner to the 1st respondent

Ext.P6:True photocopy of the Letter No.MSB/2555/06-07

//True copy//

es

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN.J

W.P.(C) No. 4008 of 2006

&

I.A. No.5095 of 2007

Dated this the 10th day of April 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a retired employee of the 1st respondent. His D.C.R.G. was withheld on account of certain demands of the 2nd respondent co-operative bank. Ext.P6 produced along with I.A.5095/2007 shows that the entire claim of the 2nd respondent has been satisfied.

In the aforesaid circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of directing that the retiral benefits of the petitioner shall be released after taking note of Ext.P6. That will be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. It is clarified that unless the 2nd respondent has already issued direct communication to the 1st respondent or any of its officers, the 2nd respondent will also be given a notice by the 1st respondent before final action is taken on the basis of this judgment .

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
JUDGE

es